Message

From: Hanley, Jim [Hanley.James@epa.gov]

Sent: 6/5/2017 8:27:40 PM

To: Smidinger, Betsy [Smidinger.Betsy@epa.gov]

CC: Wharton, Steve [Wharton.Steve@epa.gov]; Stavnes, Sandra [Stavnes.Sandra@epa.gov]; Murray, Bill

[Murray.Bill@epa.gov]; Vranka, Joe [vranka.joe@epa.gov]

Subject: ARA Action Item arising from June 5 2017 EPR LT Meeting Notes [Mining Site Consultations]

Attachments: Mining_Consultation_Pkg Tracking.docx

Attached is a refined table of mining site consultations that you have seen before.

It provides a status update of the ongoing consultation packages under review (GKM Phase II) and two proposed 2-N activity category determination memos for American Tunnel Bulkhead #3 investigation/access and Barker-Hughesville P Mine Complex 75-Level seep grouting (both in draft final awaiting further action from Removal Program and 8MO).

If accepted, the 2-N memos would document regional management concurrence with the site teams' determination of no increased fluid hazard risk associated with the proposed 2017 construction plans.

Sincerely,

James Hanley, P.E. (mining engineer) EPA Region 8 1595 Wynkoop Street, EPR-SR Denver, CO 80202-1129 303.312.6725 office 720.584.2579 mobile

From: Wharton, Steve

Sent: Monday, June 5, 2017 1:01 PM

To: Hanley, Jim <Hanley.James@epa.gov>; Forrest, Sabrina <Forrest.Sabrina@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: June 5 2017 EPR LT Meeting

Jim & Sabrina – Here are some notes from this morning's EPR Leadership Team meeting that relate to your work.

Jim – If you have a tracking document of the mine site consultations that we can use to provide a status update for Betsy, please sent that to her, with a copy to Sandy, Bill and me.

Sabrina – According to these notes, Betsy would like to review the Proposed Plan, in the context of prioritizing additional funding. I will be sharing more information about the i-ROD with her tomorrow and Wednesday during the briefings we discussed this morning, so I'll try to find out what, if any, additional information she might want/need.

Thanks, Steve

From: Stites, Rob

Sent: Monday, June 5, 2017 12:43 PM

To: Murray, Bill < Murray. Bill@epa.gov>; Wharton, Steve < Wharton. Steve@epa.gov>; Christensen, Stanley

<Christensen.Stanley@epa.gov>; Thomas, Rebecca <Thomas.Rebecca@epa.gov>

Subject: June 5 2017 EPR LT Meeting

I hope I kept these in context. Topics were bouncing back and forth.

- Betsy will be out next week.
- State Superfund Manager's Meeting:
 - The Superfund Work Groups and Task Forces assembled by the Administrator are keeping a lot of the HQs folks, particularly OSRTI, busy. Some of those planning to attend the State Superfund Manager's Meeting may have to change their plans.
 - o Betsy would like a short pre-brief Wednesday morning to identify key messages.
 - o Betsy and Sandy are flying out early Monday the 12th to attend a staff meeting in Helena.
- Colorado Smelter and Bonita Peaks are candidate sites for potential additional funding FY17 Russ dug up. Betsy wants to see the Proposed Plans and Site Strategy to help decisions for using the funds. She mentioned a call/meeting planned for June 19th (I wasn't clear which site) would like a pre-brief or may just attend the call.
- Mining consultation: She's aware of Bonita Peaks but is wondering whether there are others imminent her
 concern was enough time to put the funds to use for this Summer. Joe Vranka said Jim Hanley probably had a
 chart which would be useful; he also thought most of these were pre-consultation.
- Lead Policy: Betsy has a few comments and will get them to Steve. She believes HQs heard "were not using the IEUBK model". She suggested stressing use of site-specific features and how the Region will look at both.
- VB-I70: Betsy expressed concern over public commotion why a TCRA which would limit advance public involvement. Materials she has seen to date may not satisfy the IG. Christina (I didn't get a last name) is coming today at 4:00 to meet with Betsy (and I assume others).
- Chem Sales: Betsy has no comments on the power point. Let Betsy know if there are other materials before the meeting (the "meeting" may be a pre-brief).

I alerted Steve about the only urgent action item, i.e., that the 10:30 meeting with Betsy would go forward.

Robert Stites 8EPR-SR 303 312-6658